

2014

Joplin Police Department

Quarterly Report



2nd Quarter 2014

April 1st to June 30th

Prepared by Office of Internal Affairs

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is to provide a statistical analysis of the lethal, less-lethal, and non-lethal force used by the JPD Officer and JPD Detention Officers; and enhance transparency between the Department and its stakeholders within the City of Joplin.

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Joplin Police Department is to work with all citizens to preserve life, maintain human rights, and protect property; to hold ourselves accountable to our community and to recognized industry standards; to reduce crime, and the fear of crime, by facilitating positive police-citizen contacts. It is critical that all department personnel understand, accept, and be aligned with these responsibilities and the concept of quality public service.

VALUE STATEMENT

We, the members of the Joplin Police Department, recognize that our contribution to the quality of life in our community is best served by providing the finest professional law enforcement services found in the State of Missouri. We will meet the challenge of providing these services by basing our thoughts and actions on the following shared values.

Our Community

The foundation of our law enforcement agency is the principal of the protection of the worth, dignity and rights of all we serve. We take pride in the opportunity to provide to our community high quality services which are fair, courteous, consistent, impartial, thorough, timely, and professional.

Our Integrity

We value and expect candor, honest and ethical behaviors in the members of our department. We are committed to upholding our positions of trust by maintaining the highest ethical standards as set forth in the law enforcement code of ethics, and our own departmental principals.

Our Accountability

We value the need for effective use of our resources, and the straightforward communications with our department and the citizens we serve. We are responsible for our actions, willing to admit our mistakes. We will work to ensure that our conduct earns the support and trust of all segments of the public that we serve.

Our Professionalism

We value the spirit of professionalism, having a clear sense of commitment, perspective and direction. It has been developed by creating an environment that encourages teamwork, innovation and constant evaluation of ourselves. Our professional attitude is dedicated to high quality, timeliness, and excellence in our service to our community.

Our Pride

We believe our work to be a source of enjoyment and satisfaction. We are proud of our accomplishments as an integral part of our community. We do not take ourselves so seriously that we fail to enjoy what we choose to do - serving the citizens of Joplin by being a member of the Joplin Police Department.

Contents

Use of Force Policy and Definitions	4
Use of Force Overview	5
Type of force tally	6
Taser Usage.....	6
Type of Force /Quarterly Comparison Graph	7
Citizen Resistance Tally	9
Arrests and Charges	10
Injuries	11
Reason for Use of Force and Type of service being rendered at the time	12
Detention Officer Use of force.....	13
Detention Use of Force Continued	14
Injuries (Detention Officers)	15
Internal Affairs Report	16
Complaints Received.....	17
Early Warning System	18
Investigations (Monthly Breakdown).....	19
Graphs for Complaints	20
Types of Discipline	21
Accidents and Pursuit Policy	22
Accidents.....	23
Pursuits	23
Awards and Commendations.....	24

Use of Force Policy and Definitions

Officers are confronted daily with situations requiring the use of force to affect an arrest or ensure public safety. The degree of force used depends on what the officer perceives as reasonable and necessary under the circumstances at the time he or she decides to use force. Except for deadly force, the application of any degree of force is justified only when the officer reasonably believes that it is necessary:

- To prevent the escape from custody, make an arrest or an investigative detention of a person the officer believes has committed a crime.
- To defend him or herself or another from what the officer believes is the use of force while trying to arrest another, prevent the suspect's escape, or otherwise lawfully take the person into custody.
- To disperse persons participating in an unlawful assembly.

Deadly force: Any force applied in any manner by any means that could reasonably be expected to cause death or serious physical injury. (RSMo 563.011)

Non-deadly force : Force employed which is neither likely nor intended to cause death or serious physical injury.

Firearms: Any weapon from which a projectile is forcibly ejected by an explosive.

Reasonable belief : When facts or circumstances the officer knows, or should know, are such as to cause an ordinary and prudent person to act or think reasonably in a similar way under similar circumstances.

Serious physical injury: Bodily injury which creates a substantial risk of death or which is likely to cause serious permanent disfigurement or loss, or extended impairment of the function of anybody member or organ.

Objectively Reasonable Force : The "reasonableness" of a particular use of force must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, rather than with the 20/20 vision of hindsight. The calculus of reasonableness must embody allowance for the fact that police officers are often forced to make split-second judgments - in circumstances that are tense, uncertain, and rapidly evolving - about the amount of force that is necessary in a particular situation. The question is whether the officers' actions are "objectively reasonable" in light of the facts and circumstances confronting them, without regard to their underlying intent or motivation. Because "the test of reasonableness under the Fourth Amendment is not capable of precise definition or mechanical application," however, its proper application requires careful attention to the facts and circumstances of each particular case, including the severity of the crime at issue, whether the suspect poses an immediate threat to the safety of the officers or others, and whether he is actively resisting arrest or attempting to evade arrest by flight.

Use of Force Overview

In the second quarter of 2014 Joplin Police Officers employed some level of force 45 times to effect an arrest or assist with a prisoner/medical patient. There were 77 officer involvements, meaning that in some arrests more than one officer was involved. During the second quarter of 2013 Joplin Police Officers employed some level of force 58 times to effect an arrest or assist with a prisoner/medical patient. There were 117 officer involvements.

The analysis provided is based upon averages. The actual use of force by individual officers can be influenced by a number of factors such as assignment, patrol area, shift, number of hours worked and other variables.

- Joplin Police Officers made 2,107 arrests during the second quarter of 2014.
 - Joplin Police Officers made 2,088 arrests during the second quarter of 2013.
- When at full strength the Joplin Police Department has 111 officers.
 - In 2013 the Joplin Police Department had 111 officers.
- Use of force occurred an average of once in every 46.8 arrests for the second quarter of 2014.
 - Use of force occurred an average of once in every 36 arrests during the same time period in 2013.
- The average use of force per officer was .40 for the reporting period.
 - The average use of force per officer was .52 for the same reporting period in 2013.
- The use of force rate for 2014 second quarter is 2.14%.
 - The use of force rate for the 2013 second quarter reporting period was 2.78%.

Profile of Use-of-force Incidents received between April, 2014 and June 30, 2014

Total number of use-of-force incidents:	45
Total number of officers involved:	77

Type of force tally

The following statistics are a comprehensive breakdown of individual use of force events. As such, they are representative of the dynamic and fluid nature of such events wherein a single use of force event is comprised of phases of escalation and de-escalation. A number of levels of force and methods of force are utilized to bring about a successful resolution to the event. The following numbers reflect the multiple efforts in use of force events and do not represent a change in the total use of force events listed above.

Type of Force	Total #	Type of Force	Total
1 Active Pointing of Weapon	17	12 OC Spray	1
2 Alternative Impact Weapon	1	13 Physical Restraint	12
3 Arm bar	1	14 Pressure Point(s)	1
4 Canine	3	15 Push	7
5 Come-Along	2	16 Strikes	2
6 Control Hold	5	17 Strikes in Furtherance	1
7 Empty Hand Control	19	18 Take to Ground	4
8 Escort	3	19 Taser	14
9 Fist	1	20 Tinsley	1
10 Foot	2	21 Verbal Direction	24
11 Impact Munition	1	22 Wrist Lock	4

Taser Usage


- Tasers were deployed 14 times during the second quarter of 2014.
 - Tasers were deployed 15 times during the same quarter of 2013.
- Tasers were deployed an average of once in every 150.5 arrests in the second quarter of 2014.
 - Tasers were deployed an average of once in every 139.2 arrests in the same quarter of 2013.
- The average number of Taser deployments were 4.6 times per month during the second quarter of 2014.
 - The average number of Taser deployments was 5 times per month during the same quarter of 2013.
- The Use of Taser rate is 0.66% of the total number of arrests made in this period.
 - The Taser rate was 0.72% of the total number of arrests during the same time period in 2013.

Type of Force /Quarterly Comparison Graph

It should be noted that there were 45 Use of Force events for the second quarter of 2014. The numbers on the chart below will show a higher number. One should take into consideration that many use of force events have more than one type of force used. For example, if verbal direction is given, and the party still fails to comply with the officer, that officer will move to a different type of force.

See Following Page for Graph

2013/2014 2nd Quarter Types of Force Used



Citizen Resistance Tally

Types of Resistance;

Psychological Intimidation – Verbal and Non-Verbal cues indicative of a subject’s mental or physical preparedness to resist and/or assault the officer or others.

Non-Compliance - Non compliance or verbal responses or threats of non-compliance to officer’s directions.

Passive Resistance - Dead weight; no active participation, not influencing, not exerting any force (internal or otherwise)

Active Resistance - Clinging to objects in an attempt to prevent the officer from gaining control, exerting influence by physical effort or action. (i.e. using muscle tension to prevent movement.)

Escape Resistance - Fleeing, pushing or pulling away from the officer to avoid control, however, not attempting to harm the officer.

Active Aggression - Physical actions of assault.

Deadly Force Threat – Assaults with the perceived intent and apparent ability to cause death or great bodily harm.

Reason	Count	Percent of total
Active Aggression	14	13%
Active Resistance	25	23%
Deadly Force Assault on Officer	2	2%
Escape Resistance	17	16%
Felony Car Stop	4	4%
Knife	2	2%
Non-Compliance	24	22%
None	1	1%
Passive Resistance	5	5%
Psychological Intimidation	7	6%
Self-Harm	3	3%
Showed Great Strength	2	2%
Spit	2	2%
Total	108	

Arrests and Charges

Citizen arrested in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
No	5	11%
Yes	40	89%
Total	45	

Tally of charges against involved citizens:

Charge	Count	Percent of total
Assault	1	2%
Assault on Officer	9	16%
Compliance with request for information by Ofc.	2	3%
Domestic Assault	4	7%
DWI/DUI	2	3%
Felony Resisting Arrest	3	5%
Felony Warrant	1	2%
Misdemeanor Warrant	1	2%
Obstructing service	10	17%
Other Felony PC	5	9%
Other Misdemeanor PC	8	14%
Possession of Controlled Substance	3	5%
Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	1	2%
Resisting Arrest	7	12%
Safe Keep	1	2%
Total	58	

Injuries

Injury-The Missouri Criminal Code defines injury in Chapter 565.070 as *physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition*. Our current category for injuries only includes injured or not injured. For the purpose of reporting injuries, Injuries will include visible injuries such as contusions and bruises, lacerations, punctures, scratches and abrasions. It will also include any complaint of physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition which may not be clearly visible, however an Officer reasonably believes is caused from the type of force that was used on the Officer or Subject. Officers and subjects may have more than one visible injury (i.e. a contusion and an abrasion) however these are only counted as one “injury” in relation to the use of force event. This data will not include pre-existing injuries in relation to the use of force event.

Taken to Hospital- Subject or Officer was taken to hospital for treatment as a result of the use of force event. This may occur for a number of reasons and may sometimes be required by Departmental Policy do to the type of force that was used.

Citizen was injured in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
No	23	51%
Yes	22	49%
Total	45	

Citizen taken to hospital in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
No	33	73%
Yes	12	27%
Total	45	

Officer injured in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
No	41	91%
Yes	4	9%
Total	45	

Officer taken to hospital in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
No	42	93%
Yes	3	7%
Total	45	

Reason for Use of Force and Type of service being rendered at the time

Reason for use-of-force:

Reason	Count	Percent of total
To assist another agency	1	2%
To defend another person	1	2%
To defend self	10	22%
To effect arrest	26	58%
Investigative Detention	3	7%
To prevent a violent Felony	1	2%
To restrain for subjects safety	1	2%
To move Inmate (Jail)	1	2%
Transport Prisoner	1	2%
Total	45	

Type of service being rendered at time of use-of-force:

Service type	Count	Percent of total
Call for Service	10	22%
Criminal Investigation	4	9%
Disturbance	5	11%
Felony Car Stop	4	9%
Foot Pursuit	6	13%
Jail Detention	1	2%
Mental	3	7%
Prisoner Handling	1	2%
Prisoner Transport	1	2%
Suspicious Activity	2	4%
Traffic Stop	5	11%
Vehicle Pursuit	1	2%
Warrant Service	2	4%
Total	45	

Detention Officer Use of force

During the end of 2012, the Joplin Police Department began to track Police Officer use of force stats separate from Detention Officer use of force stats. This was in an effort to give the most practical data for officers working in a street environment vs. inside a correctional facility. Detention Officer stats no longer reflect such data such as; Officer use of force vs. arrest, Officer use of force vs. use of force rate, Taser deployments vs. arrest, reason force was used, and type of service being rendered. This data will be documents separately in order to give the most accurate number.

In the second quarter of 2014 Joplin Detention Officers employed some level of force 5 times to defend themselves, enforce a jail rule, or move a prisoner.

The analysis provided is based upon averages. The actual use of force by individual detention officers can be influenced by a number of factors such as assignment, shift, number of hours worked and other variables.

The following statistics are a comprehensive breakdown of individual use of force events. As such, they are representative of the dynamic and fluid nature of such events wherein a single use of force event is comprised of phases of escalation and de-escalation. A number of levels of force and methods of force are utilized to bring about a successful resolution to the event. The following numbers reflect the multiple efforts in use of force events and do not represent a change in the total use of force events listed above.

Detention Use of Force Continued

Profile of Jail Use-of-force Incidents received Between April 1, 2014 and June 30, 2014

Total number of use-of-force incidents:	5
Total number of officers involved:	11

<u>Type of Force</u>	<u>Total #</u>
Control Hold	2
Empty Hand Control	1
Physical Restraint	4
Pressure Point	1
Take to the Ground	2
Taser	1
Tinsley	1

<u>Citizen resistance tally:</u>	
<u>Reason</u>	<u>Count</u>
Active Aggression	1
Active Resistance	1
Non-Compliance	3
Total	5

Injuries (Detention Officers)

Citizen was injured in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count
No	5
Yes	0
Total	5

Citizen taken to hospital in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count
No	5
Yes	0
Total	5

Officer injured in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count
No	5
Yes	0
Total	5

Officer taken to hospital in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count
No	5
Yes	0
Total	5

Internal Affairs Report

During the second quarter of 2014, there have been 7 Citizen Complaints forwarded to the Office of Internal Affairs for investigation. There have been 7 Internal Investigations forwarded to the Office of Internal Affairs for investigation. There were 10 cases that involved sworn personnel and 4 cases that involved non-sworn personnel.

The 14 cases involving department personnel are broke down by month and graphs are completed to give an indication of how many complaints have been investigated, where the complaints were generated from, how many have been sustained and what types of disciplinary actions have been handed out for those violations.

During the second quarter of 2014 there were approximately 111 sworn officers and 13 civilian positions in the police department. There are currently 18 jail employees and 16 dispatch employees. This makes the total number of employees that fall under the Police Department 158.

The main purpose of this information is to get as much factual information as possible out to the members of the Department to avoid unnecessary rumors, which have a negative effect on the Department. The Office of Internal Affairs understands and respects each individual employee's right to confidentiality and will uphold that standard. However, it is important that generic information be shared Department wide to provide expectations and equality for every employee. With this in mind, the Office of Internal Affairs will assure you that each complaint will be investigated in accordance with department policy and as expeditiously as possible.

As illustrated in SOG 2-08, Internal Affairs, I have listed the disposition classifications of internal investigations for your convenience. Disposition classifications are as follows:

1. Unfounded - no truth to allegations.
2. Exonerated - allegations true, but result of adherence to proper and appropriate procedures and techniques.
3. Not sustained - unable to verify the truth of the matters under investigation.
4. Sustained - allegations true.
5. Policy Failure - The allegation is true, but employee's action was not inconsistent with policy and there is an indication of a need for policy review and revision.
6. Withdrawn – Complainant withdraws their complaint.
7. Inactivated – There is not enough information to conduct an investigation and no way of obtaining more information. The case may have to be inactivated until more information is available.

Complaints Received

The following is a breakdown of all complaints received by Internal Affairs for the second quarter of 2014.

- 7 complaints were generated by citizens
- 7 complaints were generated within the agency
- 10 complaints were sustained or partially sustained
- 4 complaints resulted in findings that were other than sustained
- JPD received an average of 2.3 citizen complaints per month
- JPD generated an average of investigations 2.3 per month from within the agency

During the second quarter of 2014 the Joplin Police Department received 22,824 calls for service. Included in this figure is 7,196 vehicle stops. JPD officers also made 2,107 arrests resulting in 4,137 charges.

During the second quarter of 2013 the Joplin Police Department received 22,368 calls for service. Included in this figure is 7,576 vehicle stops. JPD officers also made 2,088 arrests resulting in 4,078 charges.

During the second quarter of 2012 the Joplin Police Department received 21,333 calls for service. Included in this figure is 6,967 vehicle stops. JPD officers also made 2,179 arrests resulting in 4,089 charges.


During the second quarter of 2011 the Joplin Police Department received 24,343 calls for service. Included in this figure is 7,657 vehicle stops. JPD officers also made 2,303 arrests resulting in 3,961 charges.

“Agencies that set the highest standards and consistently enforce them are agencies with the highest morale among their employee’s.”

Randy Means

Early Warning System

In addition, a comprehensive Personnel Early Warning System is an essential component of a well-managed law enforcement agency. The Joplin Police Department is committed to this concept and I have implemented threshold limits into our Internal Affairs software (IA Pro) to manage this process. As a result of threshold limits recommended by the officers of the department and set by the Chief of Police, officers activities will be reviewed (by their immediate supervisor) when threshold limits are met. The threshold limits have been set as follows, to cover a twelve (12) month period; Citizen Complaints = 3, Use of Force = 12, Pursuits = 4, Bias Based Profiling = 1, and Vehicle Accidents = 2. The purpose of the Personnel Early Warning System shall be a means to identify and assess employees' performance in high-risk incidents and intervene where appropriate.



Investigations (Monthly Breakdown)

April 2014

7 Investigations (2 internal complaints received, 5 citizen complaints received)

<u>Complaint Type</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Investigator</u>
1. Handling Evid/Unsafe Work	Exonerated	Patrol/IA
2. Conduct Unbecoming	Exonerated	IA
3. Excessive Force	Policy Failure	IA
4. Rudeness	Sustained	Patrol/IA
5. Rudeness	Not Sustained	SEB/IA
6. Inefficient Job Performance	Sustained	IA
7. Fail to Complete a Report	Not Sustained	Patrol/IA

May 2014

5 Investigations (5 internal complaints)

<u>Complaint Type</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Investigator</u>
1. Insubordination	Not Sustained	IA
2. Key Control	Sustained	IA
3. Sleeping/Unsafe work Practice	Sustained	IA
4. Fail to Perf. Duties/Coop W/Agencies	Sustained	IA
5. Unsafe Work Practices	Sustained	IA



June 2014


2 Investigations (2 citizen complaints received)

<u>Complaint Type</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Investigator</u>
1. Failure to Safeguard Property	Not Sustained	IA
2. Sleeping on Duty	Sustained	IA

*****It should be noted that there may be more complaint types and investigations than actual complaints received. This is a result of multiple employees being investigated for one complaint form.**

Graphs for Complaints





Types of Discipline


Third Quarter Discipline

Violation

Rudeness
 Inefficient Job Performance
 Key Control
 Sleep/Unsafe Work Practices
 Unsafe Work Practices
 Sleeping on Duty

Discipline

Counseling
 Counseling
 Written Reprimand
 Written Reprimand/Counseling
 Counseling
 Written Reprimand



***If you see a disciplinary action that does not seem to fit the violation, keep in mind that it may be due to the severity of the case or it could be a progressive level of discipline.**

Accidents and Pursuit Policy

In case of accident or damage to any department vehicle the driver will immediately request the on-duty supervisor be notified. The supervisor will have an investigation made and the accident investigator will report the accident using the State approved accident form. An Accident/Pursuit Review Board will then review all accidents/pursuits involving Police Department employees and vehicles.

The Accident/Pursuit Review Board- the body responsible for reviewing completed officer involved accident and pursuit reports. The Accident/Pursuit Review Board will review each report to ensure compliance with department policy and forward their findings to the Office of Internal Affairs. The Office

of Internal Affairs, or his/her designee, will review the Accident/Pursuit Boards findings and initiate an investigation if appropriate. The Accident/Pursuit Review Board is a panel of three officers consisting of the Traffic Sergeant and two designees.

For tracking purposes accidents in a city vehicle and pursuits are separated from internal and citizen complaints and are categorized in the following manner.

Accidents

For the Second quarter of 2014 there were 7 officer involved accidents in a city vehicle. Of those accidents 2 of the officers were determined by the Accident Review Board to be at fault.

Second Quarter Accident Discipline

<u>Violation</u>	<u>Discipline</u>
Accident City Vehicle	Counseling
Accident City Vehicle	To Be Determined

Pursuits

For the second quarter of 2014 there were 7 officer involved pursuits. Six of those pursuits have been reviewed by the Pursuit Review Board. Five of those pursuits were determined to be within policy, as it was written. During the March 2013 Pursuit Review Board meeting, it was decided to make recommendations to modify the pursuit policy to the Joplin Police Command Staff. The wording of Joplin Standard Operating Guideline 12-10, Pursuit Policy, has been re-written and those changes were implemented on April 9th 2013.

Second Quarter Pursuit Discipline

<u>Violation</u>	<u>Discipline</u>
Pursuit Related Violation	Written Reprimand and Counseling

***If you see a disciplinary action that does not seem to fit the violation, keep in mind that it may be due to the severity of the case or it could be a progressive level of discipline.**

Awards and Commendations

Beginning April 2014 the Office of Internal Affairs began managing the awards for the department. This includes monthly, quarterly and yearly awards (Officer of the Month, Dispatcher of the Quarter, Detention Officer of the Year, Grand Cordons, Letters of Excellence etc.) as well as commendations submitted by the general public.

During the second quarter 2014, 38 awards and commendations were received from citizens and from within the department.

Officer of the Month

April 2014 Officer Phillip Beckham

May 2014 Officer Dustin Moyer

June 2014 Officer Rusty Comer

Detention Officer of the Quarter

2nd Quarter 2014 Detention Officer Simeon Burchfield

Dispatcher of the Quarter

2nd Quarter 2014 (no nominations were received)